IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel, W.A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, et al.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 4:05-CV-329-JOE-SAJ

TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

<u>DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION TO STAY</u>
<u>PROCEEDINGS AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED HEARING</u>

EXHIBIT 1

of

W. A. Drew Edmondson Oklahoma Attorney General

through

Kelly Burch **Assistant Attorney General**

Before the HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS HEARING ON SUPERFUND AND ANIMAL AGRICULTURE

November 16, 2005

EXHIBIT 1

making documents, the EPA noted numerous studies indicating that the facilities are significant contributors of pollutants to water across the country and noted numerous reports of discharges resulting in fish kills and other environmental impacts. See generally 68 Fed. Reg. at 7237.

Although States report that nonpoint source pollution is the leading remaining cause of water pollution in the United States, the majority of the estimated 238,000 animal feeding operations in the United States are not currently regulated by the EPA under the Clean Water Act. As of 2001, the EPA estimated that, of the 12,000 animal feeding operations that were subject to the CAFO point source permitting requirements, only 2,530 had actually applied for permits. See 66 Fed. Reg. at 2963. Further, the CAFO permit is primarily directed at preventing the pollution caused by nutrients, as opposed to the other constituents of animal waste such as metals and pathogens. See, e.g., 40 C.F.R. 412.4.

In Oklahoma and Arkansas, the majority of the large-scale poultry operations contributing to pollution of Oklahoma's natural resources are not regulated under the Clean Water Act. As of 2002, the estimated total company-owned or contract poultry houses located within the IRW included approximately 2,363 houses in Arkansas and 508 houses in Oklahoma. See Exhibit 3. The overwhelming majority of these poultry operations are not CAFOs and are thus not regulated under the federal Clean Water Act. See Exhibit 4.

Additionally, the overwhelming majority of these poultry operations are located outside the boundaries of Oklahoma and are beyond the regulatory authority of the Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food and Forestry. Although the Arkansas Legislature passed legislation governing poultry operations in 2003, the deadline for obtaining even a nutrient or poultry litter management plan has been extended until January 1, 2007. See Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1106. Thus, the majority